AUGUST 04 2023

A review of ultrasonic vocalizations in mice and how they relate to human speech^{a)}

Special Collection: Perception and Production of Sounds in the High-Frequency Range of Human Speech

Kylie Yao; Maria Bergamasco; Maria Luisa Scattoni 💿 ; Adam P. Vogel 💿

Check for updates

J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 154, 650–660 (2023) https://doi.org/10.1121/10.0020544



CrossMark



LEARN MORE



Advance your science and career as a member of the Acoustical Society of America





A review of ultrasonic vocalizations in mice and how they relate to human speech^{a)}

Kylie Yao,¹ Maria Bergamasco,^{2,b)} Maria Luisa Scattoni,³ (b) and Adam P. Vogel^{1,c)} (b)

¹ Centre for Neuroscience of Speech, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia

²The Walter and Eliza Hall Institute of Medical Research, Melbourne, Victoria 3052, Australia

³ Research Coordination and Support Service, Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Rome 00161, Italy

ABSTRACT:

Mice communicate through audible vocalizations, which are within the human hearing range, and ultrasonic vocalizations (USVs), which are above the upper limit of human hearing. USVs are produced by rodents in social contexts including pup separation, territorial, and courting assays. Like birdsong, an established model for human speech, USVs in mice have been used as a model for understanding human communication. Their utility as a model of social communication is illustrated in neurodevelopmental conditions with a genetic basis, like autism spectrum disorders and Rett syndrome. As mice do not exhibit clear visual cues when they vocalize, the source of vocalization is often assumed. Therefore, there is potential to better discern the true vocal contribution of individual mice if the upper limit of human hearing were to be extended. Currently, there are efforts to increase the precision of soundlocalizing technology, which will develop our understanding of communication in mice and other animal models. © 2023 Author(s). All article content, except where otherwise noted, is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). https://doi.org/10.1121/10.0020544

(Received 6 March 2023; revised 11 July 2023; accepted 17 July 2023; published online 4 August 2023)

[Editor: Ewa Jacewicz]

Pages: 650-660

I. INTRODUCTION

Communication can be conveyed through all sensory modalities; the most ubiquitous, and arguably most important, is acoustic. Vocal communication is a critical component for establishing and maintaining complex social structures including exchange of information on social status (identification of individuals or membership in a group) (Hoffmann et al., 2012), mood (dominance, submission, cooperative behavior) (Nyby et al., 1976; Neunuebel et al., 2015), and environmental conditions (predator presence or food source location) (Slobodchikoff et al., 2012). Additionally, communication is an indicator of an animal's fitness and willingness to mate and is a key factor in cultivating mother-offspring interactions (Noirot, 1972; D'Amato et al., 2005; Ehret, 2005).

Animal vocalizations are common in vertebrates including rodents, ranging from simple tonal signals to intricate songs with multiple frequency components that modulate over time (Holy and Guo, 2005). The ability of animals to perceive acoustic signals is determined by the sensory range of the underlying auditory system. The frequency range of vocalizations are often species-specific (Sales, 1972; Turner et al., 2005). By understanding the biological and psychological mechanisms underlying communication, we can better understand their contributions to behavior in the wild and the laboratory.

Most small rodent species communicate using ultrasonic vocalizations (USVs) above 20 kHz, with some species of bat producing calls with frequencies as high as 200 kHz (Heffner et al., 2001; Bohn et al., 2004). These frequencies are much higher than the upper limit of human hearing-the human audible range spans 20 Hz to 20 kHz (Wegel, 1922; Snow, 1931; Durrant and Lovrinic, 1984)and cannot be detected by human ears without technological assistance. Of the common laboratory animals, mice (Mus musculus) communicate in both the audible (20 Hz to 20 kHz) and ultrasonic (>20 kHz) range of sound frequencies (Fig. 1), though most calls range from 30 to 120 kHz (Noirot, 1972; Sales, 1972; Gourbal et al., 2004; Ehret, 2005; Brudzynski, 2007; Vogel et al., 2019). The spectro-temporal components of USVs are influenced by size, age, sex, genetic makeup, social status, and reproductive state, which in turn affect vocalization rate, frequency, and duration. This review will focus on mice models.

Rodent vocalizations are of interest to animal researchers as they can provide information on socio-communicative features of disease, treatment efficacy, or experimental models. Rodent USVs are a robust outcome measure of neurological function and communicative behavior and can serve as a proxy of social interest as well as motor performance (Nyby et al., 1976; Moles et al., 2007; Scattoni et al., 2009). For instance, USVs are produced by mouse pups when cold, removed from the home nest, or separated from their parents

22 March 2024 11:07:26

Θ

^{a)}This paper is part of a special issue on Perception and Production of Sounds in the High-Frequency Range of Human Speech.

^{b)}Also at: Department of Medical Biology, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria 3052, Australia.

^{c)}Also at: Redenlab Inc, Melbourne, Victoria 3000, Australia. Electronic mail: vogela@unimelb.edu.au

Sound Spectrum



FIG. 1. (Color online) Mice produce vocalizations across a wide range of frequencies. Mice vocalize across a broad range of frequencies, extending from the human-audible range (when squeaks, peeps, and chatters are heard, below 20kHz) to the ultrasonic range (above 20kHz). While mice do emit audible vocalizations in response to stress and pain stimuli, their vocal communication is typically within the ultrasonic frequency range between 30 and 120kHz. Maternal isolation-induced calls from mice pups, adult courtship and territorial disputes have been observed across this ultrasonic range. Infrasonic sounds (sound waves below audible levels) have not been observed in mice, but rather in large mammals such as elephants (Venter and Hanekom, 2010) and whales (Berchok *et al.*, 2006). Created with BioRender.com.

or littermates (Fish *et al.*, 2000; Ehret, 2005; Scattoni *et al.*, 2008); by males in the presence of females upon detection of pheromones (Wysocki *et al.*, 1982; Gourbal *et al.*, 2004); and by adult mice in aversive or rewarding contexts (Brudzynski, 2007; Arriaga and Jarvis, 2013). Isolation-induced calls from pups (Fig. 2) are an established index of pup emotional and social motivation (Ehret, 2005).

A commonly deployed assay for eliciting USVs in mice draws on male—female courtship interactions. Adult males will vocalize when near females in the estrus phase, providing a model where calls can be compared within and between litters and genotypes. USVs produced in courting mice are analogous to some birdsong where melodic changes in frequency are observed (Holy and Guo, 2005).



FIG. 2. (Color online) Sonograms of maternal separation-induced calls from mice pups at 4, 8, and 12 days postnatal. Example sonograms (spectrogram of sound waves) of ultrasonic vocalizations (USVs) produced by C57BL/6J mice pups at postnatal days 4 (top), 8 (middle), and 12 (bottom) after being separated from their mothers. Pups begin producing USVs from birth, increasing at 4 days and peaking 8 days postnatal, before significantly reducing their vocalizations two weeks after birth (Zippelius and Schleidt, 1956; Noirot, 1966; Yin *et al.*, 2016). Isolation-induced calls are an established index of pup emotional and social motivation (Ehret, 2005), and have been used to study neurodevelopmental disorders like autism (Scattoni *et al.*, 2008). Time (in seconds) is indicated by the X-axis, frequency (in kHz) is indicated by the Y-axis.

22 March 2024 11:07:26

Owing to the complexity of their vocal communication, USVs from mice have also been proposed as a model for studying neurodevelopmental disorders associated with deficits in social communication including autism (Scattoni *et al.*, 2008) and speech disorders (Fischer and Hammerschmidt, 2011). USVs have also been recognized as behavioral indicators of disease progression and treatment response in some neurodegenerative diseases (Tsai *et al.*, 2012; Grant *et al.*, 2014; Mo *et al.*, 2015). As such, a better understanding of the underlying mechanisms for USV production and how they manifest across different experimental assays, conditions and methods for analysis is needed.

II. ULTRASONIC VOCALIZATIONS: LEARNED OR INNATE?

The nature of mice USVs remains an ongoing discussion requiring further investigation: are these vocalizations innate or learned? This is a critical aspect to consider when evaluating the utility of vocal communications in mice as a model for human communication, as the ability to learn is an integral component of human speech.

A. Animal models for human speech

Vocal production learning is the ability to modify vocal output by reference to an external model. This process has been identified in a range of non-human species, including bats (Prat *et al.*, 2015; Lattenkamp *et al.*, 2021; Vernes *et al.*, 2022), elephants (Poole *et al.*, 2005; Stoeger *et al.*, 2012), and a range of aquatic and semi-aquatic mammals (Miksis *et al.*, 2002; Schusterman, 2008; Janik, 2014). Of these, songbirds are the most widely studied given their vocal learning abilities, which include their capacity for modifying the spectral and syntactic composition of vocalizations and vocal mimicry using a process similar to human speech acquirement (Marler, 1970; Doupe and Kuhl, 1999; Jarvis, 2004).

Like human speech, birdsong is highly structured with multiple components-notes come together to form syllables, syllables join to form phrases, and combinations of phrases constitute the basis of song (Doupe and Kuhl, 1999). Importantly, the timing and order of syllables and phrases have been shown to follow a conspicuous set of species-specific rules (Konishi, 1985), and different songs in a bird's song repertoire convey different meanings, for instance territorial defense or mate attraction (Catchpole, 1983; Searcy et al., 2000). Song complexity alone does not fully explain vocal learning. The song repertoire of a domesticated zebra finch ranges from 3 to 15 notes (Zann, 1996), while a domesticated canary's repertoire contains dozens of notes (Nottebohm and Nottebohm, 1978) that combine into syllables and phrases. Although the songs of these birds differ in syllabic complexity, both species have vocal learning trajectories that culminate in stereotyped and highly determined song structures (Williams, 2004).

Human speech and birdsong share further similarities: they depend on auditory feedback for learning and



maintenance of learned vocalizations, have critical periods for learning that are temporally restrictive, and require specialized neural networks for vocal communication (Marler, 1970; Doupe and Kuhl, 1999; Jarvis, 2004). Vocal learning processes in humans and songbirds rely on specific forebrain circuits, and these are yet to be identified in species that produce only innate vocalizations (Jarvis, 2004; Jürgens, 2009). For instance, closely related non-human primate species like squirrel monkeys (Hammerschmidt *et al.*, 2001) appear to lack both the behavioral and neural features characteristically associated with vocal learning.

The study of vocal learning in songbirds has focused on song, which is typically learned during development. Vocal learning is initiated in young birds following exposure to a song model from an adult "tutor"; this is evidenced by the rapid production of structured sound units (i.e., syllables) in the offspring. To learn song, "tutee" birds must compare these sounds with a memory template of the song model utilizing auditory feedback inputs (Doupe and Kuhl, 1999). Learning songs is fully achieved through transforming and distinguishing prototype sounds until tutee birds can replicate the different syllables of the song model demonstrated by the tutor bird. This process is analogous to that used by humans to learn spoken language, making song-producing birds a prominent model for studying vocal learning.

While a paragon for vocal learning, some songbird species have demonstrated innate components to their vocalizations. One example is the eastern phoebe, a species of small bird endemic to North and Central America. They have been shown to produce typical songs following acoustic isolation and bilateral deafening experiments via removal of the cochlea—highlighting their capacity for innate song development (Kroodsma, 1985; Kroodsma and Konishi, 1991). These experiments suggest that some birds do not require a model to produce species-typical vocalizations and can produce songs comparable to birds that do require a song model to learn typical vocalizations.

B. Evidence for learned vocalizations in mice

USVs emitted by mice and songs produced by some songbirds share notable features. In mice, the spectrotemporal and melodic characteristics of male courtship USVs are well documented and feature reproducible sound units (Holy and Guo, 2005; Scattoni *et al.*, 2008). Hence, they have been compared to the songs produced by some birds. Songs, in this instance, can be classified as a set of often elaborate calls delivered periodically and sometimes with a rhythm (Arriaga and Jarvis, 2013).

Like other communicative species, the specific acoustic characteristics of rodent USVs vary within and between different strains of mice, but they adhere to a broad set of syllabic and temporal features that can be categorized according to their visual presentation on spectrograms (Fig. 3). Attempts to objectively quantify these calls via acoustic analysis and machine learning classification continue (Scattoni *et al.*, 2008; Van Segbroeck *et al.*, 2017; Zala *et al.*, 2017;





FIG. 3. (Color online) Exemplary sonograms of USVs of mouse pups highlighting nine distinct call categories. These call categories were defined by Scattoni *et al.* (2008) based on previous categorization approaches (Branchi *et al.*, 1998; Brudzynski *et al.*, 1999; Panksepp *et al.*, 2007). Ultrasonic vocalizations representing (A) *flat*, (B) *upward*, (C) *downward*, (D) *short*, (E) *chevron*, (F) *complex*, (G) *two-components*, (H) *frequency steps*, and (I) *composite* call categories. Time (in milliseconds) is indicated by the X-axis, frequency (in kHz) is indicated by the Y-axis.

Vogel *et al.*, 2019; Ivanenko *et al.*, 2020; Premoli *et al.*, 2021). The complexity and unique temporal structure of mice USVs has led to comparisons with those of birdsong and human speech, both of which are learned processes. These parallels have been adopted by animal researchers to explore USVs as a model of communication in conditions where the genetic basis of a disease is known and replicable in mouse models—particularly in neurodevelopmental disorders (Scattoni *et al.*, 2011; Wöhr *et al.*, 2013; Zampieri *et al.*, 2014).

Vocal motor specific activity in premotor forebrain circuits in mice is thought to be comparable to the pathways observed in the songbird vocal system during active singing (Jarvis and Nottebohm, 1997; Jarvis *et al.*, 2000; Jürgens, 2002). Neural tracing experiments show mice have developed vocal premotor neural pathways that project directly to vocal motor neurons in regions of the brain relevant to communication, including the brainstem and thalamus (Arriaga *et al.*, 2012). These pathways, although not identical to humans or songbirds, are reminiscent of known circuits involved in vocal production across species.

Disrupting audio feedback at select stages of development is known to impact vocalizations in animals and humans. In songbirds, deafening in early stages of song learning can degrade the complexity and accuracy of songs, resulting in highly variable temporal structures and unstable notes used to construct their songs (Konishi, 1965; Marler and Waser, 1977). Altering auditory feedback in mice leads to similar outcomes, lending support to the learned vocalization hypothesis. Surgical deafening experiments on adult mice have been conducted to assess whether deafening affects USV production (Arriaga et al., 2012). After mice were deafened through bilateral cochlear removal, vocalizations from deaf mice were spectrally distorted with noisy syllables, reduced spectral purity, and higher pitch than those of hearing-intact control mice eight months postsurgical intervention. Based on this evidence authors concluded that USVs have a learned component, as innate vocalization would be minimally affected by altered auditory feedback.

C. Evidence for innate vocalizations in mice

Whilst there is evidence in support of learned vocalizations in the literature, recent findings have emphasized innate factors as a key component of vocal communication in mice. Mouse pups are born deaf, with their hearing developing 10 days postnatal (Ehret, 1975). During this period, they can produce vocalizations without auditory feedback (e.g., during pup-mother separation paradigm). The influence of modelling and social environments on USVs during early development has been assessed through crossfostering experiments (Kikusui *et al.*, 2011) and deafening (Hammerschmidt *et al.*, 2012b; Mahrt *et al.*, 2013).

The role of disorders of the central nervous system in mice communication is acutely illustrated through models of disease related to social communication. C57BL/6JJcl (B6) and BALB/cAJcl (BALB) mice strains present with unique USV phenotypes. B6 males produce vocalizations with shorter intervals between syllables and higher peak frequency of syllables, as well as different syllable composition compared to their BALB male counterparts (Kikusui et al., 2011). In this experiment, male offspring of B6 mice were reciprocally cross-fostered by BALB parents (Fig. 4). That is, B6 pups were fostered by BALB parents until weaning, and vice versa. The courtship USVs emitted by the fostered male mice (e.g., B6-foster) were subsequently compared to those of naturally reared control male mice (e.g., B6-son) once they matured into adults at 10-20 weeks of age. Crossfostered offspring produced USVs with acoustic characteristics that resembled those of their genetic parents rather than their foster parents. These strain-specific characteristics included marked frequency modulation patterns and syllable

https://doi.org/10.1121/10.0020544





FIG. 4. (Color online) Cross-fostering experiments using C57BL/6JJcl (B6) and BALB/cAJcl (BALB) mice strains. Illustrative diagram demonstrating the experimental design of cross-fostering BALB mice pups to B6 parents (Kikusui *et al.*, 2011). A few BALB pups from a newly born litter were removed from their BALB birth parents and placed in the care of B6 foster parents (BALB-foster). Similarly, a few B6 pups were reciprocally removed from their B6 birth parents and given to BALB foster parents (B6-foster, not depicted). The control mice (BALB-son and B6-son) were handled in the same manner but returned to their birth parents. All litters remained undisturbed until weaning (21 days postnatal), wherein the foster parents were removed, and both foster and control pups were housed together (i.e., BALB-foster with B6-son, B6-foster with BALB -son). USVs of the mice were recorded between 10 and 20 weeks of age. Created with BioRender.com.

intervals, in addition to differences in the temporal structure of vocalizations (Kikusui *et al.*, 2011). Data suggests that USVs may have a strong genetic component, not necessarily requiring imitative vocal learning in the same way that birds typically learn songs and humans learn speech.

Subsequent work explored the use of deaf mice to delineate the innate nature of murine vocalizations. Investigation of the occurrence and structure of USVs from otoferlinknockout mice, a model for human deafness, in comparison to those of hearing wildtype and heterozygous littermates (Hammerschmidt *et al.*, 2012b) showed that USVs produced by deaf genetic knockout mice, hearing wildtype, and heterozygous littermates did not significantly differ in number and nature of acoustic features. Similarly, comparisons of chronically deaf and normal hearing adult CBA/CaJ male mice revealed minimal differences in vocalization profiles (Mahrt *et al.*, 2013). Complementary work examining the importance of cortical structures on song development through cerebral cortex removal also showed that mice were able to produce typical songs (Hammerschmidt *et al.*, 2015).

A limitation of using mice strains like C57BL/6 for studying vocalizations is that age-related hearing loss associated manifests with the strain [i.e., rapid hearing deficits by 8 weeks (Zhu *et al.*, 2007)]. Yet mice pups begin producing USVs from birth, increasing at 3–4 days and peaking 8 days postnatal before dramatically reducing their vocalization rate, nearing 0, two weeks after birth (Zippelius and Schleidt, 1956; Noirot, 1966; Yin *et al.*, 2016). As such, this age-related hearing loss is less relevant to vocalizations studies completed in mice <6 weeks old. If considering innate USV production, the impact of hearing loss in older mice is less relevant to the assay.

Taken together, these findings suggest the use of mice as a model of mammalian vocal learning needs to be considered carefully. Rodent models of disease assist in elucidating the molecular and neural foundation of social and communicative behavior. The utility for investigating USV and vocal behavior requires a clear understanding of the research aims and the mice model in question.

D. Sex differences in social communication

USVs are a key form of social communication. Interactions have been studied across specific life phases and contexts, including pup isolation (Fish *et al.*, 2000; D'Amato *et al.*, 2005) and female-male mice courtship assays (Wysocki *et al.*, 1982; Gourbal *et al.*, 2004; Holy and Guo, 2005). Female mice can distinguish male courtship songs from pup isolation calls, and selectively approach the source of the courtship USVs rather than the isolation USVs (Hammerschmidt *et al.*, 2009). Female mice appear to be more attracted to USVs of unfamiliar non-kin male mice versus familiar siblings (Musolf *et al.*, 2010).

Adult female-female interactions have also been used to elicit USVs, which are thought to serve territorial functions (Hammerschmidt *et al.*, 2012a) and to establish social hierarchies (Moles *et al.*, 2007; Zala *et al.*, 2017). Female resident mice often produce more USVs when female intruders are present than male intruders, whereas male resident mice can be more responsive to female intruders than male intruders (Hammerschmidt *et al.*, 2012a). Female resident mice can be more vocal toward female intruders than their male resident counterparts, indicating a sex-dependent territorial aspect to the USVs produced. Parallel to these phenomena, anesthetized intruders can elicit acoustically different USVs in resident mice (Hammerschmidt *et al.*, 2012a), suggesting that mice differentiate between behavioral states of the intruders through USVs.

Altogether, these findings corroborate the hypothesis that USVs are unique and distinguishable, and contribute to social (individual, sibling) recognition, inbreeding avoidance, as well as other social and reproductive functions.

III. EMPLOYING ULTRASONIC VOCALIZATIONS IN CLINICAL PRACTICE

USVs are a core component of mice social behavior. Mice produce the highest number of USVs with the largest variety of call types when interacting socially (Chabout *et al.*, 2012; Zala *et al.*, 2017; Burke *et al.*, 2018). The importance of communication in behavioral assays suggest USVs are a suitable feature to explore in neurodevelopmental, affective, and psychiatric conditions where sociability and communication are impacted.

A. Model for neurodevelopmental disorders

USVs are a valuable tool for studying pathologies associated with neurodevelopmental disorders [e.g., autism spectrum disorders (ASD)] (Scattoni *et al.*, 2008; Fischer and Hammerschmidt, 2011; Binder and Lugo, 2017; Tesdahl *et al.*, 2017; Simola and Granon, 2019; Yang *et al.*, 2021). Neurodevelopmental disorders are an umbrella term for conditions that may be congenital or manifest in childhood and are defined by neurological or behavioral delays, and/or deficits during early developmental periods. They are also characterized by abnormal cognitive, language, social, affective, and motor behaviors (Hansen and Rogers, 2012; Homberg *et al.*, 2016).

B. Understanding autism spectrum disorders

ASD are a heterogenous group of disorders characterized by two behavioral symptoms that appear in early childhood the first being abnormal social interactions, and the second being restricted, repetitive behavior and interests (American Psychiatric Association, 2022). ASD can result in significant reductions in quality of life for the individual and their community (Leigh and Du, 2015; Brown *et al.*, 2019; Rogge and Janssen, 2019).

There are no established bio-physiological measures (e.g., blood test or imaging procedure) that characterize ASD at present. The types of biological markers under investigation include genetic, prenatal history, neurological (e.g., neuroimaging), metabolic (e.g., abnormal mitochondrial pathways), immune (self-antibodies and cytokine dysregulation), and nutritional (Jensen et al., 2022). Many biomarkers show promising preliminary evidence (Frye et al., 2019), yet individuals with ASD are diagnosed, treated, and understood through their associated behavioral symptoms. The lack of biomarkers, combined with the heterogeneity of ASD highlights the need for phenotypically strong animal models of disease to maximize opportunities for treatment development. In that context, studying mouse USVs can provide an ecologically valid means of evaluating pharmacological and behavioral therapies for these conditions.

C. Using mice to explore communication disorders

Mice engage in social behaviors from birth as pups all through their adult life. The changes that occur across the lifespan provide an opportunity to interrogate therapies and underlying mechanisms are crucial stages of each disease. Individuals with ASD can present with different speech characteristics compared to typically developing speakers, including monotonic or machine-like intonation, atypical phonation, impaired use of pitch and loudness, and use of aberrant stress patterns (Tager-Flusberg, 1981; Sheinkopf *et al.*, 2000; Oller *et al.*, 2010; Bonneh *et al.*, 2011; Morgan *et al.*, 2021).

Studying murine USVs in neurodevelopmental disorders may improve our understanding of the speech deficits observed in humans, especially in minimally verbal children with ASD (Shu et al., 2005; Young et al., 2010; Ey et al., 2012; Roy et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2021). SHANK3 is a scaffolding protein that regulates the formation, maturation, and maintenance of synapses between neurons in the human brain (Lim et al., 1999). Mutations in SHANK3 have been identified in individuals with ASD (Durand et al., 2007; Moessner et al., 2007) and the gene has been implicated in the pathogenesis of ASD (Sykes et al., 2009; Cooper et al., 2011). Mice deficient in the Shank3 gene have presented with abnormal USV emission patterns and social behaviors, as well as repetitive behaviors (Bozdagi et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2011; Sungur et al., 2016; Sungur et al., 2018) classically observed in individuals with ASD.

Rett Syndrome (RS) is another neurodevelopmental condition leading to profound communication impairment (Burford *et al.*, 2003; Lee *et al.*, 2013; Einspieler and Marschik, 2019; Bartl-Pokorny *et al.*, 2022). RS is caused by *de novo* mutation in the methyl CpG binding protein MECP2 (Amir *et al.*, 1999; Zoghbi, 2005) and *Mecp2* mutant mice serve as a model for the disorder. The mouse model, akin to humans with the variant, show abnormal communication patterns in early postnatal periods (De Filippis *et al.*, 2010), as well as in response to social isolation (Picker *et al.*, 2006).

D. Ultrasonic vocalizations: From bench to bedside?

Mice pup USVs have been likened to the cries of human infants, particularly in the context of neurodevelopmental disorders like ASD (Scattoni et al., 2008). Isolation-induced USVs from mice pups are an established index of pup emotional and social motivation (Ehret, 2005), while infant cries are one of the first affective social behaviors perceptible in human development (Schaffer and Emerson, 1964; Furlow, 1997). Both patterns of social behavior are acts of communication, and so the acoustic and functional features of mice USVs have been suggested to reflect the same purpose as infant cries in their capacity to elicit responses from caregivers (Scattoni et al., 2008; Scattoni et al., 2011). Cry patterns of infants with ASD are thought to differ in some circumstances to typically developing infants and those with other types of developmental delays (Esposito and Venuti, 2008, 2010). Similar work in mouse models corroborate



these findings (Scattoni *et al.*, 2009; Esposito *et al.*, 2017; Shekel *et al.*, 2021).

Investigation of features core to human disease phenotypes in mice is an established approach designed to substantiate animal models for these conditions. It also serves to use these features as indicators of differential responses to environmental changes from early postnatal periods prior to the typical period when more complex aspects of behavior, such as social interactions and information processing. Hence, USVs serve as a useful parameter for assessing vocalization and vocal behavior and may indicate early signs of cognitive abnormalities that portend later deficits.

IV. EXTENDING THE UPPER RANGE OF HUMAN HEARING

The study of USVs in rodents has been facilitated by advances in audio recording and analysis technology, which have allowed researchers to make detailed measurements of the acoustic properties of these sounds (Vogel *et al.*, 2019; Fonseca et al., 2021; Abbasi et al., 2022). This has led to a better understanding of the role that USVs play in rodent communication and behavior, as well as their potential use as markers of stress (Yin et al., 2016; Feifel et al., 2017; Schmidt et al., 2017), anxiety (Budylin et al., 2019; Yamauchi et al., 2022), pain (Jourdan et al., 1995; Williams et al., 2008; Kurejova et al., 2010; Smith et al., 2020) and other behavioral states. USVs are typically above the frequency range of human hearing (typically around 20 Hz to 20 kHz), yet interest in the acoustics of USVs in rodents that could still fall within the upper range of human hearing endures.

A. Currently audible mouse vocalizations

Mice produce vocalizations audible to humans. Audible calls are emitted in stress and pain contexts, such as during handling and restraint situations (Whitney, 1969), or surgical procedures like tail snipping and ear notching (Jourdan *et al.*, 1998; Williams *et al.*, 2008). The specific vocalizations audible by humans depend on several factors, including the species producing the calls, the frequency range of the calls, and the listener's hearing ability. In general, USVs that are lower in frequency range (<20 kHz), there is variability in the ability of individuals to hear USVs. The effects of high-frequency sound exposure in humans suggests there are some adverse effects including fatigue, headache, tinnitus, and pain (Ueda *et al.*, 2014; Leighton, 2016; Fletcher *et al.*, 2018).

B. Potentially audible mouse vocalizations

Our understanding of USVs and the role they play in communication may change if our hearing range was extended to reliably detect ultrasonic sound in other mammals. An important challenge currently limiting USV experimental work is accurate attribution of vocalization to the emitter. Mice do not exhibit obvious movements or other visual cues when they vocalize (Chabout *et al.*, 2012), and vocal behaviors are similar across individual mice, both within and between sexes (Hammerschmidt *et al.*, 2012a). The source of vocalization is often assumed rather than known; for example, courtship USVs are often attributed to the male mouse in a male-female encounter (White *et al.*, 1998; Holy and Guo, 2005). Control experiments with anes-thetized or devocalized male mice do seem to suggest that female mice rarely vocalize or are often completely silent (Whitney *et al.*, 1973; Warburton *et al.*, 1989; Sugimoto *et al.*, 2011) during these interactions. However, this does not mean that females do not contribute to these interactions—it is possible that female mice may still produce vocalizations but only in response to male calls.

If we were to extend our hearing into the ultrasonic range, we could better discern the true vocal contribution of individual mice. It is possible that the putatively defined male courtship calls may be intertwined with female USVs. Though we could study social interactions with male-male paradigms and thus definitively exclude the emission of female USVs (Hammerschmidt *et al.*, 2012a), the vocalizations produced would no longer be comparable to male courtship USVs because of changes in the behavioral state of the male mice.

Efforts to spatially localize sounds with higher precision (Zhang *et al.*, 2008) in mice vocalizations (Neunuebel *et al.*, 2015; Heckman *et al.*, 2017) have employed microphone arrays and estimation techniques for localizing and assigning USVs. These results have illustrated that female mice do indeed produce vocalizations during male-female courtship interactions (Neunuebel *et al.*, 2015). Additionally, differences in vocalization pitch, duration, frequency range, and energy between male and female mice were detected—contrary to some earlier work (Hammerschmidt *et al.*, 2012a).

The utility of wearable spatial hearing technology for ultrasonic frequencies allowing the listener to localize ultrasonic sources of interest in real-time (Pulkki *et al.*, 2021). There are prototypes designed to render an ultrasonic sound source audible to human ears after shifting pitch, as well as permitting the listener to localize it in the correct direction under both laboratory and field conditions. The development of this technology could change how we understand vocal communication and behavior in a variety of animal models, not just in rodents.

V. CONCLUSION

Vocalizations are an important mode of communication in both human and non-human species. Mice are a common animal model for a variety of experimental contexts, and USVs provide a rich source of information that we continue to study. Mice USVs can be classified into several types, including social calls, isolation calls, and courtship calls. Social calls are produced in response to the presence of intruding mice and to establish social hierarchies. Isolation calls are produced by mice pups that are separated from their mothers and diminish with age. Courtship calls are



produced by male mice during mating behaviors and are characterized by complex and highly structured acoustic patterns. While some findings suggest mice USVs have a learned component, recent evidence endorsees the larger contribution of innate factors. Their utility in studying neurodevelopmental disorders with genetic components like ASD remains high when strong animal models exist. Improvements in recording technology and analytics have helped elucidate some aspects of vocal behavior in mice. Perhaps creating a stronger link between USVs and optimized human hearing will enhance our understanding of humananimal models and provide more opportunities for discovery work across treatment and underlying neurobiology.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by institutional funding from The University of Melbourne, Australia. APV is supported by an Australian Research Council Future Fellowship (#FT220100253).

- Abbasi, R., Balazs, P., Marconi, M. A., Nicolakis, D., Zala, S. M., and Penn, D. J. (2022). "Capturing the songs of mice with an improved detection and classification method for ultrasonic vocalizations (BootSnap)," PLoS Comput. Biol. 18, e1010049.
- American Psychiatric Association (2022). Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders: DSM-5-TR/American Psychiatric Association (American Psychiatric Association Publishing, Washington, DC).
- Amir, R. E., Van den Veyver, I. B., Wan, M., Tran, C. Q., Francke, U., and Zoghbi, H. Y. (1999). "Rett syndrome is caused by mutations in X-linked *MECP2*, encoding methyl-CpG-binding protein 2," Nat. Genet. 23, 185–188.
- Arriaga, G., and Jarvis, E. D. (2013). "Mouse vocal communication system: Are ultrasounds learned or innate?," Brain Lang. 124, 96–116.
- Arriaga, G., Zhou, E. P., and Jarvis, E. D. (2012). "Of mice, birds, and men: The mouse ultrasonic song system has some features similar to humans and song-learning birds," PLoS One 7, e46610.
- Bartl-Pokorny, K. D., Pokorny, F. B., Garrido, D., Schuller, B. W., Zhang, D., and Marschik, P. B. (2022). "Vocalisation repertoire at the end of the first year of life: An exploratory comparison of Rett syndrome and typical development," J. Dev. Phys. Disabil. 34, 1053–1069.
- Berchok, C. L., Bradley, D. L., and Gabrielson, T. B. (2006). "St. Lawrence blue whale vocalizations revisited: Characterization of calls detected from 1998 to 2001," J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 120, 2340–2354.
- Binder, M. S., and Lugo, J. N. (2017). "NS-Pten knockout mice show sexand age-specific differences in ultrasonic vocalizations," Brain Behav. 7, e00857.
- Bohn, K. M., Boughman, J. W., Wilkinson, G. S., and Moss, C. F. (2004). "Auditory sensitivity and frequency selectivity in greater spear-nosed bats suggest specializations for acoustic communication," J. Comp. Physiol. A Neuroethol. Sens. Neural Behav. Physiol. 190, 185–192.
- Bonneh, Y. S., Levanon, Y., Dean-Pardo, O., Lossos, L., and Adini, Y. (2011). "Abnormal speech spectrum and increased pitch variability in young autistic children," Front. Hum. Neurosci. 4, 237.
- Bozdagi, O., Sakurai, T., Papapetrou, D., Wang, X., Dickstein, D. L., Takahashi, N., Kajiwara, Y., Yang, M., Katz, A. M., Scattoni, M. L., Harris, M. J., Saxena, R., Silverman, J. L., Crawley, J. N., Zhou, Q., Hof, P. R., and Buxbaum, J. D. (2010). "Haploinsufficiency of the autismassociated *Shank3* gene leads to deficits in synaptic function, social interaction, and social communication," Mol. Autism 1, 15.
- Branchi, I., Santucci, D., Vitale, A., and Alleva, E. (1998). "Ultrasonic vocalizations by infant laboratory mice: A preliminary spectrographic characterization under different conditions," Dev. Psychobiol. 33, 249–256.
- Brown, C. C., Tilford, J. M., Payakachat, N., Williams, D. K., Kuhlthau, K. A., Pyne, J. M., Hoefman, R. J., and Brouwer, W. B. F. (2019).

"Measuring health spillover effects in caregivers of children with autism spectrum disorder: A comparison of the EQ-5D-3L and SF-6D," Pharmacoeconomics **37**, 609–620.

- Brudzynski, S. M. (2007). "Ultrasonic calls of rats as indicator variables of negative or positive states: Acetylcholine-dopamine interaction and acoustic coding," Behav. Brain Res. 182, 261–273.
- Brudzynski, S. M., Kehoe, P., and Callahan, M. (1999). "Sonographic structure of isolation-induced ultrasonic calls of rat pups," Dev. Psychobiol. 34, 195–204.
- Budylin, T., Guariglia, S. R., Duran, L. I., Behring, B. M., Shaikh, Z., Neuwirth, L. S., and Banerjee, P. (2019). "Ultrasonic vocalization sex differences in 5-*HT*_{1A}-*R* deficient mouse pups: Predictive phenotypes associated with later-life anxiety-like behaviors," Behav. Brain Res. 373, 112062.
- Burford, B., Kerr, A. M., and Macleod, H. A. (2003). "Nurse recognition of early deviation in development in home videos of infants with Rett disorder," J. Intellect. Disabil. Res. 47, 588–596.
- Burke, K., Screven, L. A., and Dent, M. L. (2018). "CBA/CaJ mouse ultrasonic vocalizations depend on prior social experience," PLoS One 13, e0197774.
- Catchpole, C. K. (**1983**). "Variation in the song of the great reed warbler *Acrocephalus arundinaceus* in relation to mate attraction and territorial defence," Animal Behav. **31**, 1217–1225.
- Chabout, J., Serreau, P., Ey, E., Bellier, L., Aubin, T., Bourgeron, T., and Granon, S. (2012). "Adult male mice emit context-specific ultrasonic vocalizations that are modulated by prior isolation or group rearing environment," PLoS One 7, e29401.
- Cooper, G. M., Coe, B. P., Girirajan, S., Rosenfeld, J. A., Vu, T. H., Baker, C., Williams, C., Stalker, H., Hamid, R., Hannig, V., Abdel-Hamid, H., Bader, P., McCracken, E., Niyazov, D., Leppig, K., Thiese, H., Hummel, M., Alexander, N., Gorski, J., Kussmann, J., Shashi, V., Johnson, K., Rehder, C., Ballif, B. C., Shaffer, L. G., and Eichler, E. E. (2011). "A copy number variation morbidity map of developmental delay," Nat. Genet. 43, 838–846.
- D'Amato, F. R., Scalera, E., Sarli, C., and Moles, A. (2005). "Pups call, mothers rush: Does maternal responsiveness affect the amount of ultrasonic vocalizations in mouse pups?," Behav. Genet. 35, 103–112.
- De Filippis, B., Ricceri, L., and Laviola, G. (**2010**). "Early postnatal behavioral changes in the *Mecp2*-308 truncation mouse model of Rett syndrome," Genes Brain Behav. 9, 213–223.
- Doupe, A. J., and Kuhl, P. K. (1999). "Birdsong and human speech: Common themes and mechanisms," Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 22, 567–631.
- Durand, C. M., Betancur, C., Boeckers, T. M., Bockmann, J., Chaste, P., Fauchereau, F., Nygren, G., Rastam, M., Gillberg, I. C., Anckarsäter, H., Sponheim, E., Goubran-Botros, H., Delorme, R., Chabane, N., Mouren-Simeoni, M.-C., de Mas, P., Bieth, E., Rogé, B., Héron, D., Burglen, L., Gillberg, C., Leboyer, M., and Bourgeron, T. (2007). "Mutations in the gene encoding the synaptic scaffolding protein SHANK3 are associated with autism spectrum disorders," Nat. Genet. 39, 25–27.
- Durrant, J. D., and Lovrinic, J. H. (1984). *Bases of Hearing Science* (Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia).
- Ehret, G. (1975). "Frequency and intensity difference limens and nonlinearities in the ear of the housemouse (*Mus musculus*)," J. Comp. Physiol. 102, 321–336.
- Ehret, G. (2005). "Infant rodent ultrasounds—A gate to the understanding of sound communication," Behav. Genet. 35, 19–29.
- Einspieler, C., and Marschik, P. B. (2019). "Regression in Rett syndrome: Developmental pathways to its onset," Neurosci Biobehav Rev 98, 320–332.
- Esposito, G., Hiroi, N., and Scattoni, M. L. (2017). "Cry, baby, cry: Expression of distress as a biomarker and modulator in autism spectrum disorder," Int. J. Neuropsychopharmacol. 20, 498–503.
- Esposito, G., and Venuti, P. (2008). "How is crying perceived in children with Autistic Spectrum Disorder," Res. Autism Spectrum Disorders 2, 371–384.
- Esposito, G., and Venuti, P. (2010). "Developmental changes in the fundamental frequency (f0) of infants' cries: A study of children with Autism Spectrum Disorder," Early Child Develop. Care 180, 1093–1102.
- Ey, E., Yang, M., Katz, A. M., Woldeyohannes, L., Silverman, J. L., Leblond, C. S., Faure, P., Torquet, N., Le Sourd, A. M., Bourgeron, T., and Crawley, J. N. (2012). "Absence of deficits in social behaviors and ultrasonic vocalizations in later generations of mice lacking neuroligin4," Genes. Brain. Behav. 11, 928–941.



- Feifel, A. J., Shair, H. N., and Schmauss, C. (2017). "Lasting effects of early life stress in mice: Interaction of maternal environment and infant genes," Genes. Brain. Behav. 16, 768–780.
- Fischer, J., and Hammerschmidt, K. (2011). "Ultrasonic vocalizations in mouse models for speech and socio-cognitive disorders: Insights into the evolution of vocal communication," Genes Brain Behav. 10, 17–27.
- Fish, E. W., Sekinda, M., Ferrari, P. F., Dirks, A., and Miczek, K. A. (2000). "Distress vocalizations in maternally separated mouse pups: Modulation via 5-HT(1A), 5-HT(1B) and GABA(A) receptors," Psychopharmacology (Berl) 149, 277–285.
- Fletcher, M. D., Jones, S. L., White, P. R., Dolder, C. N., Leighton, T. G., and Lineton, B. (2018). "Effects of very high-frequency sound and ultrasound on humans. Part I: Adverse symptoms after exposure to audible very-high frequency sound," J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 144, 2511–2520.
- Fonseca, A. H. O., Santana, G. M., Bosque Ortiz, G. M., Bampi, S., and Dietrich, M. O. (2021). "Analysis of ultrasonic vocalizations from mice using computer vision and machine learning," eLife 10, e59161.
- Frye, R. E., Vassall, S., Kaur, G., Lewis, C., Karim, M., and Rossignol, D. (2019). "Emerging biomarkers in autism spectrum disorder: A systematic review," Ann. Transl. Med. 7, 792.
- Furlow, F. B. (1997). "Human Neonatal Cry Quality as an honest signal of fitness," Evolution Human Behav. 18, 175–193.
- Gourbal, B. E. F., Barthelemy, M., Petit, G., and Gabrion, C. (2004). "Spectrographic analysis of the ultrasonic vocalisations of adult male and female BALB/c mice," Naturwissenschaften 91, 381–385.
- Grant, L. M., Richter, F., Miller, J. E., White, S. A., Fox, C. M., Zhu, C., Chesselet, M. F., and Ciucci, M. R. (2014). "Vocalization deficits in mice over-expressing alpha-synuclein, a model of pre-manifest Parkinson's disease," Behav Neurosci 128, 110–121.
- Hammerschmidt, K., Jürgens, U., and Freudenstein, T. (2001). "Voval development in squirrel monkeys," Behav. 138, 1179–1204.
- Hammerschmidt, K., Radyushkin, K., Ehrenreich, H., and Fischer, J. (2009). "Female mice respond to male ultrasonic 'songs' with approach behaviour," Biol. Lett. 5, 589–592.
- Hammerschmidt, K., Radyushkin, K., Ehrenreich, H., and Fischer, J. (2012a). "The structure and usage of female and male mouse ultrasonic vocalizations reveal only minor differences," PLoS One 7, e41133.
- Hammerschmidt, K., Reisinger, E., Westekemper, K., Ehrenreich, L., Strenzke, N., and Fischer, J. (2012b). "Mice do not require auditory input for the normal development of their ultrasonic vocalizations," BMC Neurosci. 13, 40.
- Hammerschmidt, K., Whelan, G., Eichele, G., and Fischer, J. (2015). "Mice lacking the cerebral cortex develop normal song: Insights into the foundations of vocal learning," Sci. Rep. 5, 8808.
- Hansen, R. L., and Rogers, S. J. (2012). Autism and Other Neurodevelopmental Disorders (American Psychiatric Association Publishing, Washington, DC).
- Heckman, J. J., Proville, R., Heckman, G. J., Azarfar, A., Celikel, T., and Englitz, B. (2017). "High-precision spatial localization of mouse vocalizations during social interaction," Sci. Rep. 7, 3017.
- Heffner, R. S., Koay, G., and Heffner, H. E. (2001). "Audiograms of five species of rodents: Implications for the evolution of hearing and the perception of pitch," Hear. Res. 157, 138–152.
- Hoffmann, F., Musolf, K., and Penn, D. J. (2012). "Spectrographic analyses reveal signals of individuality and kinship in the ultrasonic courtship vocalizations of wild house mice," Physiol. Behav. 105, 766–771.
- Holy, T. E., and Guo, Z. (2005). "Ultrasonic songs of male mice," PLoS Biol. 3, e386.
- Homberg, J. R., Kyzar, E. J., Nguyen, M., Norton, W. H., Pittman, J., Poudel, M. K., Gaikwad, S., Nakamura, S., Koshiba, M., Yamanouchi, H., Scattoni, M. L., Ullman, J. F. P., Diamond, D. M., Kaluyeva, A. A., Parker, M. O., Klimenko, V. M., Apryatin, S. A., Brown, R. E., Song, C., Gainetdinov, R. R., Gottesman, I. I., and Kalueff, A. V. (2016). "Understanding autism and other neurodevelopmental disorders through experimental translational neurobehavioral models," Neurosci. Biobehavioral Rev. 65, 292–312.
- Ivanenko, A., Watkins, P., van Gerven, M. A. J., Hammerschmidt, K., and Englitz, B. (2020). "Classifying sex and strain from mouse ultrasonic vocalizations using deep learning," PLoS Comput. Biol. 16, e1007918.
- Janik, V. M. (**2014**). "Cetacean vocal learning and communication," Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. **28**, 60–65.

- Jarvis, E. D. (2004). "Learned birdsong and the neurobiology of human language," Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 1016, 749–777.
- Jarvis, E. D., and Nottebohm, F. (1997). "Motor-driven gene expression," Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 94, 4097–4102.
- Jarvis, E. D., Ribeiro, S., da Silva, M. L., Ventura, D., Vielliard, J., and Mello, C. V. (2000). "Behaviourally driven gene expression reveals song nuclei in hummingbird brain," Nature 406, 628–632.
- Jensen, A. R., Lane, A. L., Werner, B. A., McLees, S. E., Fletcher, T. S., and Frye, R. E. (2022). "Modern biomarkers for Autism Spectrum Disorder: Future directions," Mol. Diagn. Ther. 26, 483–495.
- Jourdan, D., Ardid, D., Chapuy, E., Eschalier, A., and Le Bars, D. (**1995**). "Audible and ultrasonic vocalization elicited by single electrical nociceptive stimuli to the tail in the rat," **Pain 63**, 237–249.
- Jourdan, D., Ardid, D., Chapuy, E., Le Bars, D., and Eschalier, A. (**1998**). "Effect of analgesics on audible and ultrasonic pain-induced vocalization in the rat," Life Sci **63**, 1761–1768.
- Jürgens, U. (2002). "Neural pathways underlying vocal control," Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. 26, 235–258.
- Jürgens, U. (2009). "The neural control of vocalization in mammals: A review," J. Voice 23, 1–10.
- Kikusui, T., Nakanishi, K., Nakagawa, R., Nagasawa, M., Mogi, K., and Okanoya, K. (2011). "Cross fostering experiments suggest that mice songs are innate," PLoS One 6, e17721.
- Konishi, M. (1965). "Effects of deafening on song development in American robins and black-headed grosbeaks," Z Tierpsychol. 22(5), 584–599.
- Konishi, M. (1985). "Birdsong: From behavior to neuron," Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 8, 125–170.
- Kroodsma, D. E. (**1985**). "Development and use of two song forms by the eastern phoebe," Wilson Bull. **97**(1), 21–29, available at http://www.jstor.org/stable/4162035.
- Kroodsma, D. E., and Konishi, M. (1991). "A suboscine bird (eastern phoebe, *Sayornis phoebe*) develops normal song without auditory feedback," Animal Behaviour 42, 477–487.
- Kurejova, M., Nattenmüller, U., Hildebrandt, U., Selvaraj, D., Stösser, S., and Kuner, R. (2010). "An improved behavioural assay demonstrates that ultrasound vocalizations constitute a reliable indicator of chronic cancer pain and neuropathic pain," Mol. Pain 6, 1744-8069-6-18.
- Lattenkamp, E. Z., Linnenschmidt, M., Mardus, E., Vernes, S. C., Wiegrebe, L., and Schutte, M. (2021). "The vocal development of the pale spear-nosed bat is dependent on auditory feedback," Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B 376, 20200253.
- Lee, J. Y., Leonard, H., Piek, J. P., and Downs, J. (2013). "Early development and regression in Rett syndrome," Clin. Genet. 84, 572–576.
- Leigh, J. P., and Du, J. (2015). "Brief report: Forecasting the economic burden of autism in 2015 and 2025 in the United States," J. Autism Dev. Disord. 45, 4135–4139.
- Leighton, T. G. (2016). "Are some people suffering as a result of increasing mass exposure of the public to ultrasound in air?," Proc. R. Soc. A 472, 20150624.
- Lim, S., Naisbitt, S., Yoon, J., Hwang, J.-I., Suh, P.-G., Sheng, M., and Kim, E. (1999). "Characterization of the Shank family of synaptic proteins: Multiple genes, alternative splicing, and differential expression in brain and development," J. Biol. Chem. 274, 29510–29518.
- Mahrt, E. J., Perkel, D. J., Tong, L., Rubel, E. W., and Portfors, C. V. (2013). "Engineered deafness reveals that mouse courtship vocalizations do not require auditory experience," J. Neurosci. 33, 5573–5583.
- Marler, P. (1970). "Birdsong and speech development: Could there be parallels? There may be basic rules governing vocal learning to which many species conform, including man," Am. Sci. 58(6), 669–73, available at http://www.jstor.org/stable/27829317.
- Marler, P., and Waser, M. S. (1977). "Role of auditory feedback in canary song development," J. Comp. Physiol. Psychol. 91, 8–16.
- Miksis, J. L., Tyack, P. L., and Buck, J. R. (2002). "Captive dolphins, *Tursiops truncatus*, develop signature whistles that match acoustic features of human-made model sounds," J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 112, 728–739.
- Mo, C., Renoir, T., and Hannan, A. J. (2015). "Novel ethological endophenotypes in a transgenic mouse model of Huntington's disease," Behav. Brain Res. 276, 17–27.
- Moessner, R., Marshall, C. R., Sutcliffe, J. S., Skaug, J., Pinto, D., Vincent, J., Zwaigenbaum, L., Fernandez, B., Roberts, W., Szatmari, P., and



Scherer, S. W. (2007). "Contribution of *SHANK3* mutations to Autism Spectrum Disorder," Am. J. Human Genet. 81, 1289–1297.

- Moles, A., Costantini, F., Garbugino, L., Zanettini, C., and D'Amato, F. R. (2007). "Ultrasonic vocalizations emitted during dyadic interactions in female mice: A possible index of sociability?," Behav. Brain Res. 182, 223–230.
- Morgan, A., Braden, R., Wong, M. M. K., Colin, E., Amor, D., Liégeois, F., Srivastava, S., Vogel, A., Bizaoui, V., Ranguin, K., Fisher, S. E., and van Bon, B. W. (2021). "Speech and language deficits are central to *SETBP1* haploinsufficiency disorder," Eur. J. Hum. Genet. 29, 1216–1225.
- Musolf, K., Hoffmann, F., and Penn, D. J. (2010). "Ultrasonic courtship vocalizations in wild house mice, *Mus musculus musculus*," Anim. Behav. 79, 757–764.
- Neunuebel, J. P., Taylor, A. L., Arthur, B. J., and Egnor, S. E. (2015). "Female mice ultrasonically interact with males during courtship displays," Elife 4, e06203.
- Noirot, E. (1966). "Ultra-sounds in young rodents. I. Changes with age in albino mice," Anim. Behav. 14, 459–462.
- Noirot, E. (1972). "Ultrasounds and maternal behavior in small rodents," Dev. Psychobiol. 5, 371–387.
- Nottebohm, F., and Nottebohm, M. E. (1978). "Relationship between song repertoire and age in the canary, *Serinus canarius*," Z. Tierpsychologie 46, 298–305.
- Nyby, J., Dizinno, G. A., and Whitney, G. (1976). "Social status and ultrasonic vocalizations of male mice," Behav. Biol. 18, 285–289.
- Oller, D. K., Niyogi, P., Gray, S., Richards, J. A., Gilkerson, J., Xu, D., Yapanel, U., and Warren, S. F. (2010). "Automated vocal analysis of naturalistic recordings from children with autism, language delay, and typical development," Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 107, 13354–13359.
- Panksepp, J. B., Jochman, K. A., Kim, J. U., Koy, J. J., Wilson, E. D., Chen, Q., Wilson, C. R., and Lahvis, G. P. (2007). "Affiliative behavior, ultrasonic communication and social reward are influenced by genetic variation in adolescent mice," PLoS One 2, e351.
- Picker, J. D., Yang, R., Ricceri, L., and Berger-Sweeney, J. (2006). "An altered neonatal behavioral phenotype in *Mecp2* mutant mice," Neuroreport 17, 541–544.
- Poole, J. H., Tyack, P. L., Stoeger-Horwath, A. S., and Watwood, S. (2005). "Elephants are capable of vocal learning," Nature 434, 455–456.
- Prat, Y., Taub, M., and Yovel, Y. (2015). "Vocal learning in a social mammal: Demonstrated by isolation and playback experiments in bats," Sci. Adv. 1, e1500019.
- Premoli, M., Baggi, D., Bianchetti, M., Gnutti, A., Bondaschi, M., Mastinu, A., Migliorati, P., Signoroni, A., Leonardi, R., Memo, M., and Bonini, S. A. (2021). "Automatic classification of mice vocalizations using Machine Learning techniques and Convolutional Neural Networks," PLoS One 16, e0244636.
- Pulkki, V., McCormack, L., and Gonzalez, R. (2021). "Superhuman spatial hearing technology for ultrasonic frequencies," Sci. Rep. 11, 11608.
- Rogge, N., and Janssen, J. (2019). "The economic costs of autism spectrum disorder: A literature review," J. Autism Dev. Disord. 49, 2873–2900.
- Roy, S., Watkins, N., and Heck, D. (2012). "Comprehensive analysis of ultrasonic vocalizations in a mouse model of fragile X syndrome reveals limited, call type specific deficits," PLoS One 7, e44816.
- Sales, G. D. (1972). "Ultrasound and mating behaviour in rodents with some observations on other behavioural situations," J. Zool. 168, 149–164.
- Scattoni, M. L., Crawley, J., and Ricceri, L. (2009). "Ultrasonic vocalizations: A tool for behavioural phenotyping of mouse models of neurodevelopmental disorders," Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. 33, 508–515.
- Scattoni, M. L., Gandhy, S. U., Ricceri, L., and Crawley, J. N. (2008). "Unusual repertoire of vocalizations in the BTBR T+tf/J mouse model of autism," PLoS One 3, e3067.
- Scattoni, M. L., Ricceri, L., and Crawley, J. N. (2011). "Unusual repertoire of vocalizations in adult BTBR T+tf/J mice during three types of social encounters," Genes Brain Behav. 10, 44–56.
- Schaffer, H. R., and Emerson, P. E. (1964). "The development of social attachments in infancy," Monographs Soc. Res. Child Develop. 29, 1–77.
- Schmidt, M., Lapert, F., Brandwein, C., Deuschle, M., Kasperk, C., Grimsley, J. M., and Gass, P. (2017). "Prenatal stress changes courtship vocalizations and bone mineral density in mice," Psychoneuroendocrinology 75, 203–212.

- Schusterman, R. J. (2008). "Vocal learning in mammals with special emphasis on pinnipeds," in *Evolution of Communicative Flexibility: Complexity, Creativity, and Adaptability in Human and Animal Communication* (The MIT Press, Cambridge, MA), pp. 41–70.
- Searcy, W. A., Nowicki, S., and Hogan, C. (2000). "Song type variants and aggressive context," Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol. 48, 358–363.
- Sheinkopf, S. J., Mundy, P., Oller, D. K., and Steffens, M. (2000). "Vocal atypicalities of preverbal autistic children," J. Autism Dev. Disord. 30, 345–354.
- Shekel, I., Giladi, S., Raykin, E., Weiner, M., Chalifa-Caspi, V., Lederman, D., Kofman, O., and Golan, H. M. (2021). "Isolation-induced ultrasonic vocalization in environmental and genetic mice models of autism," Front. Neurosci. 15, 769670.
- Shu, W., Cho, J. Y., Jiang, Y., Zhang, M., Weisz, D., Elder, G. A., Schmeidler, J., De Gasperi, R., Sosa, M. A. G., Rabidou, D., Santucci, A. C., Perl, D., Morrisey, E., and Buxbaum, J. D. (2005). "Altered ultrasonic vocalization in mice with a disruption in the *Foxp2* gene," Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 102, 9643–9648.
- Simola, N., and Granon, S. (2019). "Ultrasonic vocalizations as a tool in studying emotional states in rodent models of social behavior and brain disease," Neuropharmacology 159, 107420.
- Slobodchikoff, C. N., Briggs, W. R., Dennis, P. A., and Hodge, A.-M. C. (2012). "Size and shape information serve as labels in the alarm calls of Gunnison's prairie dogs *Cynomys gunnisoni*," Curr. Zool. 58, 741–748.
- Smith, B. J., Bruner, K. E. P., Hess, A. M., and Kendall, L. V. (2020). "Female urine-induced ultrasonic vocalizations in male C57BL/6J mice as a proxy indicator for postoperative pain," J. Am. Assoc. Lab. Anim. Sci. 59, 204–211.
- Snow, W. B. (1931). "Audible frequency ranges of music, speech and noise," Bell Syst. Tech. J. 10, 616–627.
- Stoeger, A. S., Mietchen, D., Oh, S., de Silva, S., Herbst, C. T., Kwon, S., and Fitch, W. T. (2012). "An Asian elephant imitates human speech," Curr. Biol. 22, 2144–2148.
- Sugimoto, H., Okabe, S., Kato, M., Koshida, N., Shiroishi, T., Mogi, K., Kikusui, T., and Koide, T. (2011). "A role for strain differences in waveforms of ultrasonic vocalizations during male-female interaction," PLoS One 6, e22093.
- Sungur, A., Schwarting, R. K., and Wöhr, M. (2016). "Early communication deficits in the *Shank1* knockout mouse model for autism spectrum disorder: Developmental aspects and effects of social context," Autism Res. 9, 696–709.
- Sungur, A., Schwarting, R. K. W., and Wöhr, M. (2018). "Behavioral phenotypes and neurobiological mechanisms in the *Shank1* mouse model for autism spectrum disorder: A translational perspective," Behav. Brain Res. 352, 46–61.
- Sykes, N. H., Toma, C., Wilson, N., Volpi, E. V., Sousa, I., Pagnamenta, A. T., Tancredi, R., Battaglia, A., Maestrini, E., Bailey, A. J., and Monaco, A. P., and International Molecular Genetic Study of Autism Consortium (IMGSAC) (2009). "Copy number variation and association analysis of *SHANK3* as a candidate gene for autism in the IMGSAC collection," Eur. J. Hum. Genet. 17, 1347–1353.
- Tager-Flusberg, H. (**1981**). "On the nature of linguistic functioning in early infantile autism," J. Autism Dev. Disord. **11**, 45–56.
- Tesdahl, N. S., King, D. K., McDaniel, L. N., and Pieper, A. A. (2017). "Altered ultrasonic vocalization in neonatal SAPAP3-deficient mice," Neuroreport 28, 1115–1118.
- Tsai, P. T., Hull, C., Chu, Y., Greene-Colozzi, E., Sadowski, A. R., Leech, J. M., Steinberg, J., Crawley, J. N., Regehr, W. G., and Sahin, M. (2012). "Autistic-like behaviour and cerebellar dysfunction in Purkinje cell *Tsc1* mutant mice," Nature 488, 647–651.
- Turner, J. G., Parrish, J. L., Hughes, L. F., Toth, L. A., and Caspary, D. M. (2005). "Hearing in laboratory animals: Strain differences and nonauditory effects of noise," Comp. Med. 55, 12–23.
- Ueda, M., Ota, A., and Takahashi, H. (**2014**). "Investigation on high-frequency noise in public space—We tried noise abatement measures for displeasure people," in *Proceedings of the 7th Forum Acusticum*, pp. 7–12.
- Van Segbroeck, M., Knoll, A. T., Levitt, P., and Narayanan, S. (2017). "MUPET—Mouse ultrasonic profile ExTraction: A signal processing tool for rapid and unsupervised analysis of ultrasonic vocalizations," Neuron 94, 465–485.



- Venter, P. J., and Hanekom, J. J. (2010). "Automatic detection of African elephant (*Loxodonta africana*) infrasonic vocalisations from recordings," Biosyst. Eng. 106, 286–294.
- Vernes, S. C., Devanna, P., Hörpel, S. G., Alvarez van Tussenbroek, I., Firzlaff, U., Hagoort, P., Hiller, M., Hoeksema, N., Hughes, G. M., Lavrichenko, K., Mengede, J., Morales, A. E., and Wiesmann, M. (2022). "The pale spear-nosed bat: A neuromolecular and transgenic model for vocal learning," Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 1517, 125–142.
- Vogel, A. P., Tsanas, A., and Scattoni, M. L. (2019). "Quantifying ultrasonic mouse vocalizations using acoustic analysis in a supervised statistical machine learning framework," Sci. Rep. 9, 8100.
- Wang, X., McCoy, P. A., Rodriguiz, R. M., Pan, Y., Je, H. S., Roberts, A. C., Kim, C. J., Berrios, J., Colvin, J. S., Bousquet-Moore, D., Lorenzo, I., Wu, G., Weinberg, R. J., Ehlers, M. D., Philpot, B. D., Beaudet, A. L., Wetsel, W. C., and Jiang, Y.-h. (2011). "Synaptic dysfunction and abnormal behaviors in mice lacking major isoforms of *Shank3*," Human Mol. Genet. 20, 3093–3108.
- Warburton, V. L., Sales, G. D., and Milligan, S. R. (1989). "The emission and elicitation of mouse ultrasonic vocalizations: The effects of age, sex and gonadal status," Physiol. Behav. 45, 41–47.
- Wegel, R. L. (**1922**). "The physical examination of hearing and binaural aids for the deaf," Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **8**, 155–160.
- White, N. R., Prasad, M., Barfield, R. J., and Nyby, J. G. (**1998**). "40- and 70-kHz vocalizations of mice (*Mus musculus*) during copulation," Physiol. Behav. **63**, 467–473.
- Whitney, G., Coble, J. R., Stockton, M. D., and Tilson, E. F. (1973). "Ultrasonic emissions: Do they facilitate courtship of mice," J. Comp. Physiol. Psychol. 84, 445–452.
- Whitney, G. D. (**1969**). "Vocalization of mice: A single genetic unit effect," J. Heredity **60**, 337–340.
- Williams, H. (2004). "Birdsong and singing behavior," Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 1016, 1–30.
- Williams, W. O., Riskin, D. K., and Mott, A. K. (2008). "Ultrasonic sound as an indicator of acute pain in laboratory mice," J. Am. Assoc. Lab. Anim. Sci. 47(1), 8–10, available at https://www.ingentaconnect.com/ content/aalas/jaalas/2008/00000047/00000001/art00001.
- Wöhr, M., Silverman, J. L., Scattoni, M. L., Turner, S. M., Harris, M. J., Saxena, R., and Crawley, J. N. (2013). "Developmental delays and reduced pup ultrasonic vocalizations but normal sociability in mice lacking the postsynaptic cell adhesion protein neuroligin2," Behav. Brain Res. 251, 50–64.
- Wysocki, C. J., Nyby, J., Whitney, G., Beauchamp, G. K., and Katz, Y. (1982). "The vomeronasal organ: Primary role in mouse chemosensory gender recognition," Physiol. Behav. 29, 315–327.

- Yamauchi, T., Yoshioka, T., Yamada, D., Hamano, T., Ohashi, M., Matsumoto, M., Iio, K., Ikeda, M., Kamei, M., Otsuki, T., Sato, Y., Nii, K., Suzuki, M., Ichikawa, H., Nagase, H., Iriyama, S., Yoshizawa, K., Nishino, S., Miyazaki, S., and Saitoh, A. (2022). "Cold-restraint stressinduced ultrasonic vocalization as a novel tool to measure anxiety in mice," Biol. Pharm. Bull. 45, 268–275.
- Yang, M., Mahrt, E. J., Lewis, F., Foley, G., Portmann, T., Dolmetsch, R. E., Portfors, C. V., and Crawley, J. N. (2015). "16p11.2 deletion syndrome mice display sensory and ultrasonic vocalization deficits during social interactions," Autism Res. 8, 507–521.
- Yang, X., Guo, D., Li, K., and Shi, L. (2021). "Altered postnatal developmental patterns of ultrasonic vocalizations in *Dock4* knockout mice," Behav. Brain Res. 406, 113232.
- Yin, X., Chen, L., Xia, Y., Cheng, Q., Yuan, J., Yang, Y., Wang, Z., Wang, H., Dong, J., Ding, Y., and Zhao, X. (2016). "Maternal deprivation influences pup ultrasonic vocalizations of C57BL/6J mice," PLoS One 11, e0160409.
- Young, D. M., Schenk, A. K., Yang, S.-B., Jan, Y. N., and Jan, L. Y. (2010). "Altered ultrasonic vocalizations in a tuberous sclerosis mouse model of autism," Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 107, 11074–11079.
- Zala, S. M., Reitschmidt, D., Noll, A., Balazs, P., and Penn, D. J. (2017). "Sex-dependent modulation of ultrasonic vocalizations in house mice (*Mus musculus musculus*)," PLoS One 12, e0188647.
- Zampieri, B. L., Fernandez, F., Pearson, J. N., Stasko, M. R., and Costa, A. C. S. (2014). "Ultrasonic vocalizations during male–female interaction in the mouse model of Down syndrome Ts65Dn," Physiol. Behav. 128, 119–125.
- Zann, R. A. (1996). *The Zebra Finch: A Synthesis of Field and Laboratory Studies* (Oxford University Press, New York).
- Zhang, C., Florencio, D., Ba, D. E., and Zhang, Z. (2008). "Maximum likelihood sound source localization and beamforming for directional microphone arrays in distributed meetings," IEEE Trans. Multimedia 10, 538–548.
- Zhu, X., Vasilyeva, O. N., Kim, S., Jacobson, M., Romney, J., Waterman, M. S., Tuttle, D., and Frisina, R. D. (2007). "Auditory efferent feedback system deficits precede age-related hearing loss: Contralateral suppression of otoacoustic emissions in mice," J. Comp. Neurol. 503, 593–604.
- Zippelius, H.-M., and Schleidt, W. M. (1956). "Ultraschall-Laute bei jungen Mäusen" ("Ultrasonic calls in young mice"), Naturwissenschaften 43, 502–502.
- Zoghbi, H. Y. (2005). "MeCP2 dysfunction in humans and mice," J. Child Neurol. 20, 736–740.